FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

SEP 23 2019

SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	United States	of America,)	Case No. CR 19 - 004 34 TSW OAKLAND
	ν.	Plaintiff,)))	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
	Edward	Jackson)	
		Defendant(s).)	
Trial A	reasons stated ct from	by the parties on the recording to \frac{\ll\sum_1\sum_5/\ll}{\ll\sum_1\sum_5/\ll} to \frac{\ll\sum_5/\ll}{\ll\sum_5/\ll}	9 blic and th	and finds that the ends of justice served by the edefendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § s continuance on the following factor(s):
		e to grant a continuance wo U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i)		ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	defend or law,	lants, the nature of t , that it is unreasonable to	he prosecu expect ade	the number of tion, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
		C	•	he defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	counse	_	ommitment	onably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given s, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	necess	_	on, taking i	onably deny the defendant the reasonable time nto account the exercise of due diligence.
	disposi paragra the tim extend	ition of criminal cases, the aph and — based on the pa le limits for a preliminary I ing the 30-day time period	court sets arties' show hearing und	ing into account the public interest in the prompt the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first wing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for interest under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the m. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
	IT IS SO OR	DERED.		Lander Walled
	DATED: _	1/23/19		Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
	STIPULATED	Attorney for Defendant		Assistant United States Attorney